

Vaginal devices for female urinary stress incontinence

Midlands and Lancashire CSU

Approved November 2025

Review date: November 2028

Version Number	Amendments made	Author	Date
1.0	Adopted from NTAG guideline unchanged	NTAG, added to house style by David Prayle	19 Nov 2025
Date of next re	view: November 2028		





Northern Treatment Advisory Group

Northern (NHS) Treatment Advisory Group

Treatment Appraisal: Decision Summary

Date	2 nd June 2020 (updated 15 th November 2022 and 19 th September 2023)
Appraisal &	The Northern (NHS) Treatment Advisory Group considered an appraisal of
Details	Vaginal devices for female urinary stress incontinence.
Recommendation	The Northern (NHS) Treatment Advisory Group does not recommend the use of Vaginal devices (e.g. Diveen®, Contiform® and Efemia®) for the routine management of female urinary stress incontinence on the NHS as per NICE NG123
	But does recommend use as per NICE NG210: Pelvic floor dysfunction: prevention and non-surgical management:
	 For women who are unable to perform an effective pelvic floor muscle contraction, consider supplementing pelvic floor muscle training with biofeedback techniques, electrical stimulation or vaginal cones.
	 Consider a trial of intravaginal devices for women with urinary incontinence, only if other non-surgical options have been unsuccessful.
	The product should only be initiated by a specialist pelvic health physiotherapist or specialist nurses and only continue if evidence of continued benefit.
	Diveen®, Contiform® and Efemia® are intravaginal devices usually similar to a tampon in shape and size. They are included in the Drug Tariff and are indicated for stress or mixed urinary incontinence. There are other similar devices which are not yet included in the Drug Tariff.
Clinical evidence summary	The place in therapy remains unclear due to limited evidence base and uncertainty surrounding the clinical importance of unpublished trial findings.
	Devices for stress urinary incontinence are not recommended by NICE in NG123: "Intravaginal devices should not be used for the routine management of urinary incontinence in women. These devices should not be considered other than for occasional use when necessary to prevent leakage, for example during physical exercise. This is because of limited evidence for these devices and adverse effects are common, these include urinary tract infections, vaginal irritation and voiding difficulties".
	However Pelvic floor dysfunction: prevention and non-surgical management NICE guideline [NG210] Published: 09 December 2021 states that for additional therapies, such as weighted vaginal cones, biofeedback and electrical stimulation, the evidence was inconsistent. Some studies showed benefits, and others showed no effect. Some of the evidence suggested that these interventions could help women with pelvic floor muscle training by improving their ability to contract their pelvic floor muscles. In the committee's experience, effective pelvic floor contractions and relaxations are important for improving pelvic floor dysfunction symptoms and most women are able to do this as part of a supervised pelvic floor muscle training programme. However, the committee believed that supplementing a pelvic floor muscle training programme with biofeedback, electrical stimulation or vaginal cones could be cost effective in the subgroup who

Not to be used for commercial or marketing purposes





Northern Treatment Advisory Group

Northern (NHS) Treatment Advisory Group

Treatment Appraisal: Decision Summary

	additional therapies a women to avoid the ne evidence and the pot intravaginal devices if	re particularly like eed for surgical in ential complicatio other non-surgic women could de	ed pelvic floor muscle ely to be cost effective if u itervention. Based on the ons, the committee recon al options have been trie ecide whether they were	sing them allows limitations of the nmended trialling d and have been
Safety	The long-term safety stress incontinence is		these vaginal devices for	or female urinary
	Adverse effects include have been reported.	ling urinary tract i	nfections, metrorrhagia a	ind residual urine
Patient Perspective	cessation are considered followed by pelvic fluctures for incontinence can be the intravaginal devices from managing symptoms bladder neck injection PFMT whilst using the at this stage, some we before considering investigation.	ered first line tre loor muscle train reated successful may be considered and prior to consins or surgery. Pa de devices. Use of omen may benefit vasive treatments.		ary incontinence, ents with stress e not effective in eatments such as aged to continue not the only route ctrical stimulation
Cost analysis summary	The number of patients who would be suitable for an intravaginal device is unknown. But about 1-2 patients per month across the whole of North Cumbria at most. The devices do not last for ever and patients may well return to their GP for another prescription. Diveen® The device can be worn twice before it needs to be replaced.			
		Cost	Annual Cost (worn every day)	Annual Cos (worn twice a w
	15 devices (small / medium) + 1 applicator	£41.17	£494.04	£164.68
	Contiform® The device can be worn	thirty times before	it needs to be replaced.	
		Cost	Annual Cost (worn every day)	Annual Cos (worn twice a w
	Starter Pack	£51.56	((11111111111111111111111111111111111111
	Replacement pessary (small / medium / large)	£26.64	£344.56	£131.48
	Efemia®			

Not to be used for commercial or marketing purposes





Northern Treatment Advisory Group

Northern (NHS) Treatment Advisory Group

Treatment Appraisal: Decision Summary

		Cost	Annual Cost (worn every day)	Annual Co. (worn twice a v
	Pack	£49.00	£196	£196
		uggests in NENC Contife ly prescribed in Northun	orm is most commonly pres berland.	cribed followed by
Financial impact	Diveen. Efemia on		nberland.	cribed followed by

Not to be used for commercial or marketing purposes